## 

DD/S 71-3632

1 7 SEP 19.1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Legislative Counsel

25X1A

ATTENTION

:

SUBJECT

١

: Request for Information or Recommendations Concerning the Freedom of Information Act (Chairman Moorhead's Questionnaire)

25X1A

- 1. Components of the Support Directorate have received no direct requests from the public for access to records under the Freedom of Information Act. The Office of Personnel, of course, receives and responds to requests for information from prospective employers of former employees concerning recommendations or references; requests from attorneys for sick leave balances as a part of civil litigation; and the like. I assume, however, that requests of this nature do not come under the provisions of this law.
- 2. Question 4 of Chairman Moorhead's questionnaire asks: "What legend is used by your agency to identify records which are not classifiable under Executive Order 10501 but which are not to be made available outside the government? Please list each term and explain its application."
  - Comment: Documents with control markings such as "Administrative-Internal Use Only" or "For Official Use Only" are not normally made available outside the government.
- 3. Questions 5, 6 and 7 ask how many Agency people are authorized to classify material Top Secret, Secret, and Confidential under the terms of Executive Order 10501, and asks that individuals authorized to classify material Top Secret be identified by name and title.

# SECRET Approved For Release 2002/01/22 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

25X1A 25X1A

Comment: States "Authority to assign an original classification to information or material shall be delegated to such officers and employees throughout the Agency as are necessary to the orderly and expeditious transaction of Agency business". Listing of CIA personnel authorized to classify documents would be a tremendous task but Section 6 of the CIA Act of 1949 exempts the Agency from disclosing the names, official titles, salaries and numbers of Agency personnel.

- 4. Chairman Moorhead requested copies of rules, directives, and orders or memoranda implementing the Freedom of Information Act, the handling of records and information not classifiable under Executive Order 10501. We would have no objection to the declassification and release of Release of CIA Information to the Public (copy attached) but the Executive Director—Comptroller would have to approve its release.
- or comment on requests for the release or declassification of certain OSS records still in the possession of the Department of the Army. We have received 20 requests of this kind during the past four years. Of the 20, the Agency Action Office (DDP/RID) had no objection to declassification in 15 instances and recommended against declassification in 5 instances. It is my understanding that requests of this kind have been coming to the Agency for a number of years without regard to the Freedom of Information Act. You may want to discuss with the Chief, RID the applicability of the Freedom of Information Act to requests of the kind received by RID.

Chief, Support Operations Starr/DD/S

Attachment

25X1A

25X1A

### Approved For Release 2002/01/22 : CIA PIP 15 0296R000100070005-9

" 🤧 SEP 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Support Operations Staff, DDS

SUBJECT

: Requests for Information or Recommendation

REFERENCE

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

: Memo for Multiple Addressess from C/SOS/DDS dtd 2 Sep 71,

Same Subject

1. I discussed the correspondence received from Chairman Moorhead requesting information on the application of the Freedom of Information Law in the Agency with Coffice of Legislative Counsel.

said he thought that Mr. Goodwin would be the principal Agency officer replying to this request and that he, did not think that the DDS would have anything to contribute to whatever final reply is prepared.

25X1A

explains it, the Freedom of Information Law pertains 2. As to information held in a Federal Agency that is considered the rightful property of the public. Agencies under the law are required to reply when the public asks for this kind of information and can be taken to court if they do not. Classified material, medical and security material, and information pertaining to the internal rules and procedures of personnel administration would be exempt from necessary disclosure I did ask if the kinds of responses we make to according to prospective employers concerning recommendations or references for ex-employees, sick leave balances requested by attorneys as a part of civil litigation, etc., would fall under the provisions of this law. hid not think it would. He did suggest that I reply to you in this manner so that he would have it for the record. He intends to review the correctness of this position with General Counsel at the time he prepares the reply to Chairman Moorhead.

25X1A

Deputy Director of Personnel

Att

Excluded from automatic formation and formation formation and

1

Approved For Release 2002/01/22: CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

#### Approved For Release 2002/01/22: CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

DD/S 71-3435

2 SEP 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

Director of Security

Chief, Support Services Staff

SUBJECT

: Request for Information or Recommendation

- 1. Attached is correspondence requesting information on the application of the Freedom of Information Law in the Agency. As requested by the Legislative Counsel, please provide whatever information or recommendations you have concerning this request to permit a coordinated reply.
  - 2. I will appreciate receiving your response by 14 September 1971.

Chief, Support Operations Staff, DD/S

Att.

25X1A

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET				
SUBJECT: (Optional)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				03071-1242
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
OLC 7D35				02C 71-0854
		* <del>*                                    </del>		
O: (Officer designation, room number, and pullding)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
ogc	1/2/	1%	0,1	Copies of the attached reques
	17,	171	Ju,	
2.			0 9	information on the Freedom of
OLC		į l		Information Act are being sent
3.				to OGC, DDS, and Mr. Goodwin.
				Please provide us with whatever information or recom-
4.		ļ — — — i		mendations you have concerning
				Chairman Moorhead's request
5.	<del></del>			so that we can draw up a
			i	coordinated reply.
•			<u> </u>	
•	<del></del>			
	•			I believe we can certainly
				answer questions 1, 2, and
			İ	3. It seems unlikely that we
				can really answer 4 through
				<ol> <li>The final request for a copy of our rules is okay,</li> </ol>
				since this is published in the
				Federal Register.
•				
		-		
	(			<b>,</b>
•				
	Ī			·

INTERNAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

### Approved For Release 2002/01/22 : CIA-RDP73B00296R0001000059N



ms Reply to the dion Indicated winitials and Number

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D. C.

December 8, 1969 -

MEMORANDUM TO GENERAL COUNSELS OF ALL FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

Re: Coordination of Certain Administrative Matters under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

### Distribution List

The attached document is being distributed to the following:

### xecutive Office of the President

eneral Counsel ureau of the Budget ashington, D. C. 20503

eneral Counsel V lentral Intelligence Agency lashington, D. C. 20550

eneral Counsel

office of Emergency Preparedness
executive Office Bldg., Annex
ashington, D. C. 20504

General Counsel
Office of the Special Representative
for Trade Negotiations
1800 G Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20506

General Counsel
Office of Economic Opportunity
122 -- 19th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20506

### Department of the Treasury

General Counsel
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D. C. 20220

Chief Counsel to Comptroller of the Currency Pennsylvania Ave. & 15th St., N.W. Washington, D. C. 20220

Chief Counsel U. S. Secret Service Washington, D. C. 20226

Counsel
Bureau of the Mint
Washington, D. C. 20226

Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service Washington, D. C. 20224

Approved For Release 2002/01/22 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

# Approved For Release 2002/01/22: CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to der Division Indicates Refer to Initials and Number

December 8, 1969

MEMORANDUM TO GENERAL COUNSELS OF ALL FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

Re: Coordination of Certain Administrative Matters under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

The Freedom of Information Act, providing for compulsory disclosure of agency records not exempted by the Act, confers administrative responsibility on each agency and makes the agency's final decisions subject to judicial review. The Department of Justice conducts litigation in defense of agency determinations under the Act and furnishes certain advisory and other services pertaining to Freedom of Information problems. In general, the Department's litigation functions in this area are conducted by the Civil Division, and the advisory and other functions are conducted by the Office of Legal Counsel.

In discharging these functions, the Department has noted several developments which we believe warrant your

Approved For Release 2002/01/22 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

attention. First, the government in recent months has lost cases in court which involved a number of the exemptions contained in the Act. Consumers Union v.

Veterans Administration, 301 F. Supp. 796 (S.D.N.Y.

July 10, 1969) (involving exemptions 2, 3, 4 and 5);

General Services Administration v. Benson, 415 F. 2d 878

(9th Cir. Aug. 26, 1969) (exemptions 4 and 5). Second, there has been considerable variation in agency practices with respect to consulting the Department on Freedom of Information controversies before the agency takes final action which may result in the filing of suit against the agency. Third, there are particular problem areas under the Act which are common to a number of agencies, where an exchange of views may be beneficial.

The implications of the judicial decisions cited above, as well as other cases, are under continuing review in the Department. However, enough review has already been accomplished to point to two conclusions: (1) Although the legal basis for denying a particular request under the Act may seem quite strong to an agency at the time it

the justification may appear considerably less strong when later viewed, in the context of adversary litigation, from the detached perspective of a court and from the standpoint of the broad public policy of the Act; (2) An agency denial leading to litigation and a possible adverse judicial decision may well have effects going beyond the operations and programs of the agency involved, insofar as it creates a precedent affecting other departments and agencies in the Executive Branch.

In view of the foregoing, it seems manifestly desirable that, in most instances, litigation should be avoided if reasonably practicable where the government's prospects for success are subject to serious question. This can often best be done if, before a final agency rejection of a request has committed both sides to conflicting positions, the matter is given a timely and careful review, in terms of litigation risks, government-wide implications, and the policy of the Act, as well as the agency's own interests. To facilitate review of the nature just described, we need your cooperation. To

### Approved for Release 2002/01/22 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

improve cooperation are our part, we have just established an informal committee of representatives of the Civil 1/Division and of the Office of Legal Counsel. The functions of this committee will be to assist in such review and help assure closer coordination in our work.

We request that in the future you consult this Department before your agency issues a final denial of a request under the Freedom of Information Act if there is any substantial possibility that such denial might lead to a court decision adversely affecting the government. Such consultation will serve the review function discussed above, and in some instances may also enable us to assist you in reaching a disposition of the matter reasonably satisfactory both to your agency and to the person making the request. The requested consultation may be undertaken

The members of this committee as of now are: Jeffrey F. Axelrad, Civil Div., ext. 3300; Robert V. Zener, Civil Div., ext. 3354; Steven P. Lockman, Office of Legal Counsel, ext. 2039; and Robert L. Saloschin, Office of Legal Counsel, ext. 2674, chairman. Deputy Assistant Attorney General Thomas E. Kauper, Office of Legal Counsel, ext. 2051, will be chairman ex officio.

formally or informally as you prefer, and ordinarily should be directed initially to the Office of Legal Counsel rather than to the Civil Division.

As regards the third development under the Act noted near the beginning of this memorandum -- the emergence of certain problem areas common to several agencies on which exchanges of view and experience may be mutually beneficial -- there is one such area warranting mention at this time. This area consists of various questions as to the availability of information on the testing of manufactured and other products (including such items of information as the identity of the maker or supplier, brand names, models, generic descriptions, test criteria, test procedures, test results, comparative ratings, limitations pertaining to products or characteristics not tested, etc.). If the activities of your agency involve testing or information pertaining thereto, we would welcome any statements of experience, policies or views which you may care to provide. Such statements may prove use-

#### Approved For Release 2002/01/22: CIA-RDP73B00296R000100070005-9

ful to other agencies sociaged in similar activities and to this Department in regresenting or counseling such agencies.

It is our hope that through the consultation and review procedures outlined above and through exchanges of experience and views on problems of common interest, positive benefits will accrue to individual agencies, the government as a whole, and the public.

Please feel free to call us if you have any questions about the foregoing.

Assistant Attorney Meneral

Office of Legal Counsel

liam D. Ruckelshaus

Assistant Attorney General

Civil Division